

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/822,679	04/13/2004	Shigeru Nakahara	NAKAHARA2	2879
1444	7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			BURNHAM, SARAH C	
624 NINTH S	TREET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 300		AKTONII	TATER NOMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-5303		3636	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/24/200	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/_					No.		
\cap		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	79		
		10/8	22,679	NAKAHARA, SHIGERU			
•	Office Action Summary	Exar	niner	Art Unit			
			h C. Bumham	3636			
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this community 	nication appears o	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress		
THE N - Extension after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for repl sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within ti tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause ti	no event, however, may a he statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MC he application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on		•			
·		2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.				
	, -						
Disposition	on of Claims						
5)□ 6 6)⊠ 7 7)⊠ 8 8)□ 6 Application	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the la) Of the above claim(s) is/accclaim(s) is/accclaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7, 9, 13-14 is/are rejectecclaim(s) 8 and 10-12 is/are objectecclaim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification.	are withdrawn from d. d to. ction and/or elect	ion requirement.				
	The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 200 Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	ection to the drawing the correction is r	g(s) be held in abeya equired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[:	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents have documents have of the priority document documenty documenty document (PCT)	been received. been received in cuments have bee	Application No n received in this National	Stage		
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of No(s)/Mail Date July 13, 2004.		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed July 13, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. JP 63-118854 has no statement of relevance. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites a connecting means disposed within "both said upper and seat back portions" in line 3. It is unclear to which portions Applicant is referring. Did Applicant intend to state that the connecting means is disposed within both said upper and lower seat back portions. Clarification is required.

It appears as if the word "portions" in line 17 of claim 14 should be replaced with the word - - portion - -. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vu Khac et al. (5,544,942). Vu Khac et al. disclose a seat back (Figure 1) of an automotive seat comprising: a lower seat back portion (1) including a foam padding (12) therein; and an upper seat back portion (2)(3)(2) connected with an upper area (unlabeled) of said lower seat back portion (1), said upper seat back portion (2)(3)(2) including a foam padding (unlabeled) in the form of a "single block of polyurethane foam" (column 3, line 65) having a hardness equal to or grater than that of the other foam parts.

With respect to claim 2, the automotive seat is an automotive bucket seat (see Figure 9) constructed such that said upper (2)(3)(2) and lower (1) seat back portions are formed protuberant in the respective two lateral regions thereof. See Figure 9. The upper back portion (2)(3)(2) has side bolster portions (2) that protrude outwardly. The lower back portion (1) has upper and lower edges that fan outwardly and constitute protuberances.

With respect to claims 3 and 7, said lower seat back portion (1) is formed to have a main back support region (unlabeled) defined centrally thereof and a pair of side bolster support regions (unlabeled) defined on opposite sides of said main back support region (unlabeled) an in the form of upper and lower edges which fan outwardly as

Art Unit: 3636

described above. The upper seat back portion (2)(3)(2) is formed to have a headrest region (3) defined in the upper area thereof and a shoulder support region (2) defined in the lower area thereof.

With respect to claim 4, said pair of side bolster support regions each have a lateral surface (unlabeled) defined one side of lateral groove (6), wherein said upper seat back portion (2)(3)(2) the form of an inverted U shape (see Figure 9), such that a pair of said shoulder support regions (2)(2) are defined continuously from said headrest region (3) so as to extend downwardly therefrom, and where in each of said pair of shoulder support regions (2) is fixedly and integrally attached to said outer lateral surface of each of said pair of side bolster support regions (unlabeled) as is best depicted in Figure 3.

With respect to claim 5, a vent means, consisting of holes (14)(15)(16) formed in base (9) and the permeability characteristic (column 4, line 4) of the lower seat back (1) allow air to flow at said lower and upper seat back portions.

With respect to claims 6 and 7, a first vent hole means (14)(15)(16) is defined in said lower seat back portion (1) and a second vent hole means (7), as best seen in Figure 8, defined between said upper (2)(3)(2) and lower (1) seat back portions.

With respect to claim 9, said vent means includes a recessed portion (7) defined in said forward surface of said lower seat back portion (1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3636

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as best understood with the above

cited indefiniteness, as being unpatentable over Vu Khac et al. (5,544,942) in view of

Vishey (5,758,924). As disclosed above, Vu Khac et al. disclose all claimed elements

with the exception of a connecting means disposed within both said upper and lower

seat back portions.

Vishey discloses a vehicle bucket seat (10) comprising a lower seat back

member (16) and an upper seat back member (14). Lower seat back cushion (16)

carries frame members (36)(38) and upper seat back member (14) carries frame

members (40)(42). A securing bolt (52) is used to connect the two frame members

(36)(36) and (40)(42) in order to attach lower seat back member (16) and upper seat

back member (14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

instant invention to replace the adhesive (17) used to connect the lower and upper seat

back portions with a securing means disclosed by Vishey. Such a modification would

allow the two seat back portions to be removed from one another without having to rip

them apart.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3636

8. Claims 8 and 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Rost (5,458,396); McEvoy et al. (6,688,686); Rivard et al. (5,863,095); Dudley (3,713,696); Karschin et al. (6,554,365); Cuevas (5,902,010); Schroten et al. (6,062,643); Farquhar et al. (6,837,541); Waelde (5,437,498); Colasanti (5,568,961); Burch (5,609,395) and Walker et al. (6,817,673).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah C. Burnham whose telephone number is 703-305-7315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,679

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCB February 9, 2005 Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

Page 7